



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

CINCINNATI, OHIO 45268

Technical Support Division  
Office of Drinking Water  
OFFICE OF WATER

5555 Ridge Road, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268

Date: October 29, 1981

Subject: PAH Treatment

From: R. Kent Sorrell, Chemist *RKS*  
Drinking Water Quality Assessment BranchTo: Michael Kosakowski  
Office of Waste Program Enforcement (EN-335)

In response to your letter of August 24, 1981, I have reviewed the comments of Mr. E. J. Schwartzbauer. His statement that conventional treatment (ie flocculation, clarification and chlorination) is capable of reducing PAH concentrations substantially .... is true, but the key word is "capable" and in his advocacy Mr. Schwartzbauer overlooked some points in the review paper. For example, the portion of the paper describing treatment and removal of PAHs, presented mostly data from surface waters not ground waters. These surface waters, such as rivers, generally contain significant amounts of suspended material (particulates). The PAHs in these waters are associated with the particulate matter. As stated in the review "effective removal of PAHs from raw water appears to be closely related to particulate removal and thus, conventional water practices are generally quite effective."<sup>1</sup> By contrast, ground waters typically contain little suspended matter<sup>1</sup> and low concentrations of PAHs. The latter is illustrated by the low PAH concentration ( $\leq 10$  ng/l) in the United States ground water reported in the review paper. In addition, the effectiveness of conventional treatment will probably be influenced by the organic loading of the water. To date, I am not familiar with any pilot or full scale studies investigating the removal of high concentration of PAHs from United States ground waters via clarification, filtration or softening treatments. In the absence of this type of data, it is difficult to predict what the efficiencies might be.

Finally, in his letter, Mr. Schwartzbauer states that in some cases conventional treatment is more effective than activated carbon. The comparison in the review paper, however, wasn't of conventional treatment alone versus GAC treatment alone, but of conventional treatment alone versus conventional treatment followed by GAC filtration. The point being made was that GAC treatment is not always appropriate for removal of PAHs at low concentrations.

I hope you find my comments useful.

- 
1. U. P. Gibson and R. D. Singer, Water Well Manual, 1971, Premier Press, Berkeley, California

3 Enclosure

cc: H. J. Brass  
L. A. Van Den Berg  
H. Hanson  
J. Cotruvo  
V. J. Kimm

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

AUG 24 1981

OFFICE OF  
SOLID WASTE AND EMERGENCY RESPONSE

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: PAH Treatment

FROM: Michael Kosakowski, Office of Waste Programs  
Enforcement, (WH-527/M)

TO: R. Kent Sorrell, ORD

In following up on our conversation attached is a copy of a letter sent by the attorney representing Reilly Tar. In it, it is claimed that conventional water treatment removes polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons. I believe that this is a misrepresentation of the literature review that you did in that St. Louis park receives its water supply from ground water whose TSS content is below 1/mg/l.

I would appreciate your comment on this matter. After your review I would like to arrange for an affidavit.

When was the attached report (cover only attached) published?

cc: R. Emory  
E. Dolgin (DOJ)  
R. Leininger (Region 5)

RECEIVED Sept 9, 1981

MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55402

(612) 340-2800

CABLE: DOROW

TELEX: 29-0608

TELECOPIER: (612) 340-2868

880 W-FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG  
ST. PAUL, MINNESOTA 55101  
(612) 227-8017115 THIRD STREET SOUTHWEST  
ROCHESTER, MINNESOTA 55901  
(607) 286-3186312 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BLDG.  
WAYZATA, MINNESOTA 55391  
(612) 478-0373

March 10, 1981

Thomas Berg, Esq.  
United States Attorney  
234 United States Courthouse  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

Re: United States of America v.  
Reilly Tar & Chemical Corporation

Dear Tom:

In case you have not seen it, I am enclosing for your information a paper entitled "A Review of Occurrences and Treatment of Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons" published by the Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Drinking Water, Technical Support Division, Cincinnati, Ohio. As indicated at several points in the paper, conventional water treatment, such as that already in place in St. Louis Park, is capable of reducing PAH concentrations substantially below the World Health Organization standards. Page 105224, in fact, shows that in some cases, conventional treatment is more effective than activated carbon. These were among the major points made by Dr. McMichael at our October 9 meeting. It is extremely disappointing to learn that these points apparently were missed.

We continue to be bewildered by the apparent insistence by the governmental agencies involved in this matter that it is necessary to require extensive studies on such subjects as new treatment systems and barrier wells. To the best of our knowledge, no one has yet tested the finished water in St. Louis Park to determine whether existing treatment facilities effect the desired removal of PAH's.

Yours very truly,

  
Edward J. Schwartzbauer

EJS:ml  
Enclosure

ALAN  
ANDREW  
B. BROWN  
ALL S. LINDQUIST  
B. BROWN  
BACE WICH  
BERT J. JOHNSON  
BYRON D. HASSELQUIST  
PETER DORSEY  
GEORGE P. PLANNERY  
CURTIS L. ROY  
ARTHUR E. WEISBERG  
DUANE E. JOSEPH  
JAMES B. VESSEY  
WILLIAM A. WHITLOCK  
EDWARD J. SCHWARTZBAUER  
THOMAS W. BROWN  
CONNELIUS D. WADSWORTH, JR.  
WILLIAM C. BARCOCK  
THOMAS S. ERICSSON  
MICHAEL L. SPES  
RAYMOND A. REISTER  
JOHN J. TYLER  
WILLIAM J. HENDEL  
JOHN S. HUBBS  
ROBERT O. FLOTEN  
JOHN D. LEVINE  
ROBERT J. STRYJA  
MICHAEL A. OLSON  
LARRY W. JOHNSON  
THOMAS S. RAY  
G. LARRY GRIFFITH  
DAVID A. BECK  
DAVID L. HICKSLEY

THOMAS D. MOE  
JAMES H. SHACAN  
JOHN W. HATCH  
LOREN B. PHOTT  
PHILIP W. MARTIN  
REESE C. JOHNSON  
CHARLES J. HAUENSTEIN  
CHARLES A. GELP  
JOHN C. JOHNSON  
JOHN A. RICKS  
EUGENE L. JOHNSON  
JOHN W. GINDHART, JR.  
MICHAEL PRICHARD  
JOHN P. VITAO  
WILLIAM R. SOTH  
RICHARD G. SONNEN  
DAVID L. SHANN  
DAVID A. PARNER  
ROBERT J. SILVERMAN  
THOMAS R. MANTHE  
WILLIAM R. HUBBS  
PHILIP F. BOELTER  
WILLIAM B. RAYNE  
ROBERT A. WEISBERG  
JOHN L. KIRBY  
ROBERT A. SCHWARTZBAUER  
DAVID S. FROMER  
THOMAS W. THURMAN  
JOHN F. TUTTLE  
EMERY W. BARTLE  
WILLIAM A. JOHNSTONE  
STEVEN R. CHAMPLIN  
MICHAEL J. RACHEN  
MICHAEL TRUCANO

JAMES A. FLAHER  
DAVID L. BOHMER  
MICHAEL A. LAYTON  
DON O. CARLSON  
PAUL J. SCHERER  
FRANK H. VOOT  
WILLIAM H. HOPPEL, JR.  
ROBERT A. BURNS  
ROGER J. MACHUSON  
PETER S. HENDRICKSON  
JAY F. COON  
CHARLEY W. REIN  
CHARLES L. POTURNIK  
VERLANE L. ENDORF  
GERHARD P. BURATTI  
ROBERT L. HOBBS  
BARRY D. GLAZER  
WYING WELER  
STEPHEN E. GOTTSCHALL  
KENNETH L. CUTLER  
OWEN C. MARK  
J. ROBERT HUBBS  
MICHAEL E. MURPHY  
GARY W. JOHNSON  
ROBERT D. BAYER  
SUZANNE S. VAN DYKE  
STUART R. HENDRICK  
J. DAVID JACOBSON  
W. CHARLES LAUTZ  
STEVEN F. WOLGASTOT  
J. MARCUS EASTWOOD  
EDWARD J. PLANNERY  
JAMES L. SCHULZ

OF COUNSEL  
WILLIAM F. HARRIS  
JOHN F. FINE

**A REVIEW OF OCCURRENCES AND TREATMENT OF POLYNUCLEAR  
AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS**

**R. Kent Sorrell — 634 - 4372**  
**Herbert J. Brass**  
**Richard Reding**

**U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**  
**Office of Drinking Water**  
**Technical Support Division**  
**5555 Ridge Road**  
**Cincinnati, Ohio 45268**

**105203**